EDPS position paper on The transfer of personal data to third countries and international organisations by EU institutions and bodies

> María Verónica Pérez Asinari Head of Complaints and Litigation EDPS





#### Introduction

• EU i&b increasingly need to transfer p.d. to third countries and/or international organisations:

**Cross-border cooperation & Transational services** 

• Article 9: principle of **"adequate level of protection"** Meaningfull data exchanges + ad hoc mechanisms + exceptions





### Preliminary issue: the notion of transfer

- No legal definition
- Natural meaning: data move or are allowed to move between different users
- Case-law: Lindqvist (C-101/01, 6 November 2003): uploading personal data onto an Internet page which is stored by a hosting provider: not a transfer
- Context of the case: very limited scope, state of development of the internet. Conclusion of the Court not to be applied to cases with other characteristics





## **Protection Supervisor European Data**

## Preliminary issue: the notion of transfer

- The term would normally imply at least the following elements:
- the communication, disclosure or otherwise making available of personal data,
- conducted with the knowledge or intention of a sender subject to the Regulation that the recipient(s) will have access to it.
- Concept includes: "deliberate transfers" and "permitted access" to data by recipient(s).



\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## Adequate protection (Article 9.1 and 9.2)

- Additional condition: the transfer should take place *"solely to allow tasks covered by the competence of the controller"*.
- The level of protection afforded by a third country or international organisation shall be assessed in the light of

"all circumstances surrounding a data transfer operation or set of data transfer operations".



\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### Assessment of adequacy

- Adequacy Decision adopted by the European Commission: **binding** on all MS and EU i&b
- Adequacy assessed by the controller: the controller should conduct a **specific** adequacy assessment of the data protection system of the recipient.





## Role of the EDPS in the assessment of adequacy

- Adequacy Decision adopted by the European Commission:
- no specific procedure
- Adequacy assessed by the controller:
- **analysis clearly documented** by controller and made available to EDPS upon request. **Consultation** to be submited **in case of** (a) novelty, or (b) a clear impact on data subject's rights.





#### Derogations (Article 9.6)

- Unambiguous consent
- Necessary for the performance of a contract between d.s. and controller
- Necessary for the conclusion of a contract in the interest of the d.s.
- Necessary or legally required on important public interest grounds
- Necessary to protect vital interests of the d.s.
- If the transfer is made from a register which is intended to provide information to the public



\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### Adequate safeguards (Article 9.7)

- Form and nature of the instrument reflecting the AS:
- \* Field of private law: SCC, *ad hoc* contract, etc.

\*Field of public law: international agreement, MoU, Administrative arrangement, etc.





## Role of the EDPS in dealing with derogations

- Article 9.6 derogations:
- Controller not required to inform the EDPS *ex ante*, but upon request. Consultation if necessary,
- Article 9.7 derogations (AS):

- <u>No need for prior authorisation or consultation</u>: where Standard Contractual Clauses (SCC) are used.

- <u>No need for prior authorisation, but consultation might be necessary</u>: when an *ad hoc* binding instrument (as opposed to SCC), is developed by the EU institution or body to be used in either private or public law field.

<u>- Need for prior authorisation</u>: In exceptional cases where the transfers are based on safeguards developed *ad hoc* and are not incorporated in a legally binding instrument





# **Data Protection Supervisor**

#### Example of the EDPS dealing with derogations

- EDPS Decision of 13 February 2014 concerning the transfers of personal • data carried out by OLAF through the Investigative Data Consultation Platform pursuant to Article 9(7) of Regulation (EC) No 45/2001
- https://secure.edps.europa.eu/EDPSWEB/webdav/site/mySite/shared/Doc • uments/Supervision/Adminmeasures/2014/14-02-13 Letter Kessler Decision EN.pdf
- Annex Draft Administrative Cooperation Arrangement between the • "European Anti-Fraud Office" (OLAF) and [The Partner]
- https://secure.edps.europa.eu/EDPSWEB/webdav/site/mySite/shared/Doc • uments/Supervision/Adminmeasures/2014/14-02-13\_Letter\_Kessler\_Decision\_Annex\_EN.pdf



## Special situation: legislation and bilateral agreements

- EU institutions and/or bodies might be required by EU legislation or bilateral agreements to conduct international transfers, as controllers. The instrument should include the appropriate framework for compliance with Article 9.
- The legislation or bilateral agreement in question might already be in force and not comply with Article 9.
  Controller should adopt complementary measures to ensure compliance with Article 9 before the transfer or set of transfers take place.
- EDPS should be consulted under Article 28(2)



\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### Supervision and enforcement

- Supervision tools:
- Prior checks
- Consultations, complaints handling, inspections
- Enforcement tools:

- Powers as described in Article 47 (e.g. impose a tremporary or definitive ban on processing, refer the matter to the Court of Justice of the European Union, etc.)





#### Thank you for your attention!

For more information:

www.edps.europa.eu edps@edps.europa.eu



