



Secure Cloud Identity Wallet

You are what you keep!

CREDENTIAL's PSbD approach

Nicolás Notario McDonnell (Atos)



Frankfurt, 09/09/2016



Summary

- CREDENTIAL
 - Summary
 - PbD Technologies
 - Re-encryption
 - Redactable signatures
 - 2Factor authentications
 - PbD Process
 - PRIPARE
 - Outcomes

CREDENTIAL



- **Duration**: Oct 2015 Sept 2018
- **Estimated Project Cost**: 6'645'185.00€
- Call: DS-02-2014: Access Control
- Consortium:
 - 6 Industry partners





CREDENTIAL & PbD



- CREDENTIAL is a privacy/security-oriented project
- PbD in the core technologies
- PbD at process level

CREDENTIAL







Minimize levels of trust required towards the cloud





Technology Pillars





Proxy Re-Encryption



• Example Application: Data Sharing



Proxy Re-Encryption



- Extends public key encryption
- Allows to transform ciphertext for user A to ciphertext for user B
 → Secure end-to-end encryption





Conditional Proxy Re-Encryption

Example: Email forwarding



Redactable Signatures



• Example Application: Selective Disclosure



Redactable Signatures



- Black-out parts of a signed document
- Signature stays valid for remaining parts
 → Selective Disclosure





Two Factor Authentication

- Hardware-based authentication
- With two different factors of:
 Knowledge, Possession, Inherence

- FIDO specification (local authenticators)
 supported by many OSs and hardware
- Focus on
 - Biometrics, National eID solutions

PbD at a methodological level



- Map existing CREDENTIAL work structure with PRIPARE
- Recommend practices identified by PRIPARE as tools for CREDENTIAL tasks
- Ensure CREDENTIAL has a solid approach to PSbD ensuring that all processes considerd by PRIPARE as essential are present

PRIPARE project and its objectives



- PRIPARE (<u>http://pripareproject.eu</u>)was a 2-year FP7 Coordination and support Action which ended in October 2015
- Objectives
 - facilitate the application of a privacy and security-by-design methodology
 - foster risk management culture
- Outcomes
 - <u>Methodology Handbook</u>
 - Educational material
 - Gaps and recommendations on privacy and security-by-design practices
- PRIPARE is one of the seeds of recently approved ISO 21879 Work Item "privacy engineering"

PRIPARE methodology sources

CREDENTIAL

.**D** •









PEARs



- Ontario IPC PbD principles
 - Full Functionality Positive-Sum, not Zero-Sum
- Privacy Impact Assessments
 - More than a compliance check
- Privacy Management Reference Model (PMRM)
 - Understanding and analyzing privacy policies and their management requirements; selecting technical services which must be implemented to support privacy controls
- Microsoft Security Development Lifecycle
 - Build more secure software and address security compliance requirements
- Risk management (CNIL, BSI, STRIDE, EBIOS...)
 - Remove, minimise, transfer or accept identified risks
- Privacy Enhancing Architectures
 - Making the right architectural choices
- ISO Standards (29100, 29101, 24760, 29140)

PRIPARE Methodology Features



- Easy-to-understand and easy-to-use;
- Integrated with risk assessment standards;
- Designed to cover the whole system lifecycle;
- Flexible so it can adapt depending on the nature of the project and the information collected;
- Useful for different stakeholders;
- Engaged with engineering practices.
- Principles-based;



Process based methodology

Environment & Infrastructure									
Organizational Privacy Architecture Promote privacy awareness									
Analysis	Design	Implementation	Verification	Release	Maintenance	Decommission			
 Functional Description and High-Level Privacy Analysis Legal asessment Privacy and security plan preparation Detailed Privacy Analysis Operationalization of privacy principles Risk management 	 Privacy Enhancing Architecture (PEAR) design Privacy Enhancing Detailed Design 	 Privacy implementation 	 Accountability Security & Privacy dynamic analysis Security & Privacy static analysis 	 Create Incident Response Plan Create system decommissioning plan Final Security & Privacy review Publish PIA report 	 Execute incident response plan Security & Privacy verifications 	 Execute decommissioning plan 			

Each process is described using a standard SIPOC notation

Process name								
Suppliers	Inputs	Process	Outputs	Customers				
Tools & Techniques								
Knowledge								
Responsible								

CREDENTIAL – PRIPARE mapping



- High level privacy analysis as part of the requirement elicitation phase
- Legal analysis integrated with the requirement elicitation phase
- Detailed privacy analysis
- Risk analisys (privacy and security)
- Privacy requirements operationalization
- Privacy enhancing architecture
- Privacy enhancing detailed design

PbD process outcomes



- For now... requirements, ideas and discussions
 - Multiple accounts
 - Server-side vs client-side document index
 - Log information
 - SAML improvement to carry encrypted data with reencryption scheme
 - Mix FIDO local authenticator approach with identity federation concepts
 - Metadata encryption/recryption
 - Do we need/want the cloud to know that the encrypted value are medical data or identity data?





- What privacy issues do you observe that might concern users, and thereby affecting the adoption of the CREDENTIAL technology?
- What usability issues do you observe that might create hurdles for users to operate CREDENTIAL technology?

Privacy and Usability Requirements II



- Are you concerned that the wallet may be a privacy risk to the user?
- Is having multiple accounts a good idea ? or rather a usability and privacy challenge?

Credential Partners









Nicolás Notario (nicolas.notario@atos.net)

Further Information

Website: <u>http://credential.eu</u> Twitter: <u>https://twitter.com/CredentialH2020</u> (@CredentialH2020) LinkedIn: <u>https://at.linkedin.com/in/credential</u>



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 653454



Thank you very much for your attention!